

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/746,219	12/22/2000	Diego Carmello	CARP-0087	1142
23377 WOODCOCK	7590 06/27/2007 WASHRIIDNII P		EXAMINER	
CIRA CENTR	WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR		ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA	
2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	1725		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/746,219	CARMELLO ET AL.			
Offic	e Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		M. Alexandra Elve	1725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHICHEVER I - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If NO period for re - Failure to reply wit Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY S LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 THS from the mailing date of this communication. Day is specified above, the maximum statutory period whin the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by the Office later than three months after the mailing an adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Respons	ive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ap</u>	<u>oril 2007</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action	on is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since thi	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Cla	ims					
4a) Of the 5)⊠ Claim(s) 6)⊠ Claim(s) 7)□ Claim(s)	13,14 and 26-36 is/are pending in the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 13 and 14 is/are allowed. 26-36 is/are rejected is/are objected to are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
10)⊠ The draw Applicant Replacem	fication is objected to by the Examiner ing(s) filed on <u>22 December 2000</u> is/ar may not request that any objection to the clent drawing sheet(s) including the correction declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objector drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
3) Information Discl	osure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 26-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiozaki et al. (USPN 4,366,093) in view of Eichhorn et al. (USPN 4,740,644).

Shiozaki et al. discloses a cylindrical molded catalyst suitable for a fixed bed reactor. The mechanical strength of the tubular moldings prepared by extrusion is smaller than compression moldings.

Shiozaki et al. does not disclose the reaction.

Eichhorn et al. discloses a supported catalyst with a tubular reactor. The oxychlorination process is carried out with careful monitoring of the temperature, since the oxychlorination reaction is highly exothermic. This system is used in the preparation of 1, 2-dichloroethane.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use note the exothermic reaction, because this common type of reaction.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13-14 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/5/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that metallic catalyst is not taught. The examiner respectfully disagrees because metallic components are taught, that is: cu-halide, fe-halide, cr-co-oxide, cu-oxide, mo-oxide and so forth.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck* & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is 571-272-1173. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jonathan Johnson can be reached on 571-272-1177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/746,219 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

June 25, 2007.

M. Alexandra Elve

Primary Examiner 1725